Attorney's Docket No.: 05918-133002 / VGCP 5030



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: William H. Shepard et al. Art Unit: 1771

Serial No.: 10/657,507 Examiner: Jenna-Leigh Befumo

Filed: September 8, 2003 Conf. No.: 9338

Title : HOOK-ENGAGEABLE FASTENER SHEETS, AND METHODS AND

ARTICLES OF MANUFACTURE

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

On Tuesday, April 4, 2006, Examiner Befumo conducted a telephonic interview with James W. Babineau and Timothy M. Bryan, Attorneys for the Applicants.

Claim 1 was discussed in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,891,547 to Lawless. Applicants discussed distinctions over the Lawless reference. No conclusion was reached regarding allowability, but the Examiner suggested that further evidence with regard to the distinctions of claim 1 over the Lawless reference would be helpful to support a finding of patentability.

In the Examiner's Interview Summary dated April 10, 2006, the Examiner asserts that "the applicants did not think the lightweight material would be able to be bonded to a paper layer because the extras adhesive would coat or cover the loop material and hinder the loop material from fastening to the hook fastener" and that "Applicants will provide additional evidence to attempt to show that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have a reasonable expectation of success if paper is used as the backing layer." However, these were merely suggestions by Applicants' attorneys that there may have been reasons why lamination to paper would have

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

I hereby certify under 37 CFR §1.8(a) that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage on the date indicated below and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date of Deposit

Signature

Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate

Applicant: William H. Shepard et al.

Serial No.: 10/657,507

Filed: September 8, 2003

Page

: 2 of 2

involved additional issues not applicable to lamination to other materials, suggestions which they proposed to substantiate, if appropriate upon further evaluation, by declaration of a skilled artisan. Upon further consultation, Applicants preferred to forego any such assertions in favor of the claim amendments as now presented.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney's Docket No.: 05918-133002 / VGCP 5030

Date:

Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110

Telephone: (617) 542-5070 Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

21359215.doc

James W. Babineau Reg. No.)42,276